arXiv:1406.5407v2 [math.CA] 24 Jun 2014

# A REFINEMENT OF THE BEREZIN-LI-YAU TYPE INEQUALITY FOR NONLOCAL ELLIPTIC OPERATORS

YONG-CHEOL KIM

Abstract. In this paper, we prove a refinement of the Berezin-Li-Yau type inequality for a wider class of nonlocal elliptic operators including the fractional Laplacians $-(-\Delta^{\sigma/2})$ restricted to a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 2$ and $\sigma \in (0, 2]$, which is optimal when $\sigma = 2$ in view of Weyl's asymptotic formula. In addition, we describe the Berezin-Li-Yau inequality for the Laplacian $\Delta$ as the limit case of our result as $\sigma \to 2^-$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{K}_\sigma$ be the class of all positive symmetric kernels $K$ satisfying the uniformly ellipticity assumption

$$K(y) = K(-y) \geq \frac{\lambda\, c_{n,\sigma}}{|y|^{n+\sigma}},\ 0 < \sigma < 2, \tag{1.1}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $mK \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $m(y) = \min\{1, |y|^2\}$ and $c_{n,\sigma}$ is the constant given by

$$c_{n,\sigma} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 - \cos(\xi_1)}{|\xi|^{n+\sigma}}\, d\xi \right)^{-1}. \tag{1.2}$$

Then we consider the corresponding nonlocal elliptic operator $\mathrm{L}_K$ given by

$$\mathrm{L}_K u(x) = \frac{1}{2}\ \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu(u, x, y) K(y)\, dy$$

where $\mu(u, x, y) = u(x + y) + u(x - y) - 2u(x)$. In this paper, we consider the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{L}_K u = \nu\, u & \text{in } D \\ \quad u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus D, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $\sigma \in (0, 2)$, $n \geq 2$, $K \in \mathcal{K}_\sigma$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open bounded set.

Let X be the normed linear space of all Lebesgue measurable functions $v$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathrm{X}} = \|v\|_{L^2(D)} + \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}_D^n} |v(x) - v(y)|^2 K(x - y)\, dx\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_H^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus (H^c \times H^c)$ for $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Set $\mathrm{X}_0 = \{v \in \mathrm{X} : v = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus D\ \}$. Since $C_0^2(D) \subset \mathrm{X}_0$, we see that X and $\mathrm{X}_0$ are not empty. By [9], there is a constant $c > 1$ depending only on $n, \lambda, \sigma$ and $D$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_D^n} |v(x) - v(y)|^2 K(x - y)\, dx\, dy \leq \|v\|_{\mathrm{X}}^2 \leq c \int_{\mathcal{C}_D^n} |v(x) - v(y)|^2 K(x - y)\, dx\, dy$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35P15, 47G20.

for any $v \in \mathrm{X}_0$; that is, $\|v\|_{\mathrm{X}_0} := \left( \iint_{\mathcal{C}_D^n} |v(x) - v(y)|^2 K(x-y)\, dx\, dy \right)^{1/2}$ is a norm on $\mathrm{X}_0$ equivalent to (1.4). Moreover it is known [9] that $(\mathrm{X}_0, \|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{X}_0})$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathrm{X}_0} := \iint_{\mathcal{C}_D^n} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) K(x-y)\, dx\, dy. \tag{1.5}$$

From simple computation, we note that $\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathrm{X}_0} = -\langle \mathrm{L}_K u, v \rangle_{L^2(D)}$ for all $u, v \in \mathrm{X}_0$.

More precisely, we study the weak formulation of the problem (1.3) given by

$$\begin{cases} \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathrm{X}_0} = \nu \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(D)}, \ \forall v \in \mathrm{X}_0, \\ \qquad u \in \mathrm{X}_0. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Then it is well-known [10] that there is a sequence $\{\nu_i^\sigma(D)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of eigenvalues of (1.6) with $0 < \nu_1^\sigma(D) \le \nu_2^\sigma(D) \le \cdots \le \nu_i^\sigma(D) \le \cdots$ and $\lim_{i \to \infty} \nu_i^\sigma(D) = \infty$ such that the set $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of eigenfunctions $e_i$ corresponding to $\nu_i^\sigma(D)$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(D)$ and an orthogonal basis of $\mathrm{X}_0$. Moreover, it turns out that $e_{i+1} \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$ and

$$\nu_1^\sigma(D) = \|e_1\|_{\mathrm{X}_0}^2 \ \text{ and } \ \nu_{i+1}^\sigma(D) = \|e_{i+1}\|_{\mathrm{X}_0}^2 \tag{1.7}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathcal{P}_{i+1} = \{u \in \mathrm{X}_0 : \langle u, e_j \rangle_{\mathrm{X}_0} = 0, \ \forall j = 1, 2, \cdots, i\}$.

Originally, Weyl's asymptotic formula [12] for the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu\, u & \text{in } D \\ \qquad u = 0 & \text{in } \partial D \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

asserts that

$$\mu_k(D) \sim \frac{4\pi^2}{(|D|\,|B_1|)^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}} \ \text{ as } k \to \infty, \tag{1.9}$$

where $|D|$ and $|B_1|$ denote the volumes of $D$ and the unit ball $B_1$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively. The relevant study on the eigenvalue problem for the Laplacian has been done along this line by Pólya [7] and Lieb [4]. P. Li and S. T. Yau [3] proved the following lower bound on the averages on the finite sums of eigenvalues

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_k(D) \ge \frac{4n\pi^2}{(n+2)(|D|\,|B_1|)^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

for any domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which is sharp in terms of (1.10). P. Kröger [2] obtained a upper bound for the sums of the eigenvalues depending on geometric properties of $D$. A. Melas [5] improved their lower bound by using the *moment of inertia* of $D$. Using the method based on his argument, we obtain a lower bound on the averages on the finite sums of eigenvalues $\nu_k^\sigma$ of the eigenvalue problem (1.6).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set and $\sigma \in (0, 2)$. If $\{\nu_k^\sigma(D)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of the above eigenvalue problem* (1.6) *for the nonlocal elliptic operators $\mathrm{L}_K$ with $K \in \mathcal{K}_\sigma$, then we have the estimate*

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \nu_k^\sigma(D) \ge \frac{\lambda\, n(2\pi)^\sigma}{(n+\sigma)(|B_1|\,|D|)^{\frac{\sigma}{n}}} k^{\frac{\sigma}{n}} + \frac{\lambda\, \sigma(2\pi)^{\sigma-2}}{48(n+\sigma)(|B_1|\,|D|)^{\frac{\sigma-2}{n}}} \frac{|D|}{[D]} k^{\frac{\sigma-2}{n}}$$

*where $[D] = \int_D |x|^2\, dx$ is the moment of inertia of $D$ with mass center $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

In particular, if $K_0(y) = c_{n,\sigma}|y|^{-n-\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in (0,2)$, then $\mathrm{L}_{K_0} = -(-\Delta^{\sigma/2})$ is the fractional Laplacian and it is well-known [6] that

$$\lim_{\sigma\to 2^-} -(-\Delta^{\sigma/2})u = \Delta u \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\sigma\to 0^+} (-\Delta^{\sigma/2})u = u \tag{1.10}$$

for any function $u$ in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, the constant $c_{n,\sigma}$ satisfies the following property [6];

$$\lim_{\sigma\to 2^-} \frac{c_{n,\sigma}}{\sigma(2-\sigma)} = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\sigma\to 0^+} \frac{c_{n,\sigma}}{\sigma(2-\sigma)} = \frac{1}{2n|B_1|}.$$

If we consider the nonlocal operator $\mathrm{L}_{K_0}$ corresponding to $K_0(y) = c_{n,\sigma}|y|^{-n-\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in (0,2)$, our result makes it possible to recover the result obtained by S. Yildirim Yolcu and T. Yolcu [13] as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set and $\sigma \in (0,2)$. If $\{\nu_{0k}^{\sigma}(D)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of the above eigenvalue problem* (1.6) *for the fractional Laplacians $-(-\Delta^{\sigma/2})$, then we have the estimate*

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \nu_{0k}^{\sigma}(D) \geq \frac{n(2\pi)^{\sigma}}{(n+\sigma)(|B_1|\,|D|)^{\frac{\sigma}{n}}} k^{\frac{\sigma}{n}} + \frac{\sigma(2\pi)^{\sigma-2}}{48(n+\sigma)(|B_1|\,|D|)^{\frac{\sigma-2}{n}}} \frac{|D|}{[D]} k^{\frac{\sigma-2}{n}}$$

*where $[D] = \int_D |x|^2\,dx$ is the moment of inertia of $D$ with mass center $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

As in (1.10), we could look on the Laplacian $\Delta$ as the limit of the fractional Laplacian $-(-\Delta^{\sigma/2})$ as $\sigma \to 2^-$. Then our result implies an improvement (see A. D. Melas [5]) of the results proved by F. A. Berezin [1] and P. Li and S.-T. Yau [3] as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open domain. If $\{\mu_k(D)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of the above eigenvalue problem* (1.8) *for the Laplacian $\Delta$, then we have the estimate*

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_k(D) \geq \frac{n(2\pi)^2}{(n+2)(|B_1|\,|D|)^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}} + \frac{1}{24(n+2)} \frac{|D|}{[D]}$$

*where $[D] = \int_D |x|^2\,dx$ is the moment of inertia of $D$ with mass center $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

## 2. Preliminaries

First of all, we furnish several fundamental lemmas which are useful in proving our main theorem. Our proof follows in part the argument of Melas [5], Li and Yau [3], and S. Yildirim Yolcu and T. Yolcu [13].

**Lemma 2.1.** *If $\phi : [0,\infty) \to [0,1]$ is a Lebesgue measurable function satisfying $\int_0^\infty \phi(t)\,dt = 1$ and $0 < \sigma < 2$, then there exists some $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$\int_\eta^{\eta+1} t^n\,dt = \int_0^\infty t^n\phi(t)\,dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\eta^{\eta+1} t^{n+\sigma}\,dt \leq \int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt. \tag{2.1}$$

*Proof.* First of all, we claim that $\int_0^\infty t^n\phi(t)\,dt < \infty$. Indeed, if $\int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt < \infty$, then we easily obtain that $\int_0^\infty t^n\phi(t)\,dt < \infty$ because $\int_0^\infty \phi(t)\,dt = 1$. In case that $\int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt = \infty$, we can derive that $\int_2^\infty t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt = \infty$. We note that the

set $H = \{t \in [2,\infty) : \phi(t) > 0\}$ must not be Lebesgue measure zero; otherwise, it must be true that $\int_2^\infty t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt = 0$, which is a contradiction. So we see that

$$\int_2^\infty t^n\phi(t)\,dt = \int_H t^n\phi(t)\,dt < \int_H t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt = \int_2^\infty t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt = \infty.$$

This implies that $\int_0^\infty t^n\phi(t)\,dt < \infty$.

Since $(t^n-1)(\phi(t)-\mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(t)) \geq 0$ for any $t \in [0,\infty)$, it follows from integrating the inequality on $[0,\infty)$ that $\int_0^1 t^n\,dt \leq \int_0^\infty t^n\phi(t)\,dt < \infty$. We note that $g(s) = \int_s^{s+1} t^n\,dt$ is increasing on $[0,\infty)$ and $\lim_{s\to\infty} g(s) = \infty$. Thus there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $g(\eta) = \int_0^\infty t^n\phi(t)\,dt$.

We may also choose some $a, b \in (0,\infty)$ so that the function

$$h(t) = t^{n+\sigma} - at^n + b$$

satisfies $h(\eta) = h(\eta+1) = 0$. Indeed, the equation $h'(t) = 0$ has a unique solution $(\frac{an}{n+\sigma})^{1/\sigma}$ in $[0,\infty)$ at which $h$ has the minimum value $-\frac{a\sigma}{n+\sigma}(\frac{an}{n+\sigma})^{n/\sigma} + b$. Since $h$ is convex in $[0,\infty)$, we can select such $a, b \in (0,\infty)$ satisfying the condition $h(\eta) = h(\eta+1) = 0$. Thus we conclude that $h(t) < 0$ for any $t \in (\eta, \eta+1)$ and $h(t) > 0$ for any $t \in [0,\infty) \setminus (\eta, \eta+1)$, and hence $h(t)(\phi(t) - \mathbb{1}_{(\eta,\eta+1)}(t)) \geq 0$ for any $t \in [0,\infty)$. Integrating this inequality on $[0,\infty)$, we easily obtain the second result. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *The following inequality*

$$nt^{n+\sigma} - (n+\sigma)t^n s^\sigma + \sigma s^{n+\sigma} \geq \sigma s^{n+\sigma-2}(t-s)^2 \tag{2.2}$$

*always holds for any $s, t \in (0,\infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}+1$ and $\sigma \in (0,2]$.*

*Proof.* If we set $\tau = t/s \in (0,\infty)$, then the inequality (2.2) becomes

$$n\tau^{n+\sigma} - (n+\sigma)\tau^n + \sigma \geq \sigma(\tau-1)^2.$$

Consider the function $p(\tau) = n\tau^{n+\sigma} - (n+\sigma)\tau^n + \sigma - \sigma(\tau-1)^2$. We write

$$p(\tau) = \tau\big(n\tau^{n+\sigma-1} - (n+\sigma)\tau^{n-1} - \sigma\tau + 2\sigma\big) := \tau q(\tau).$$

Then we have that $q'(\tau) = n(n+\sigma-1)\tau^{n+\sigma-2} - (n+\sigma)(n-1)\tau^{n-2} - \sigma$ and

$$q''(\tau) = \tau^{n-3}n(n+\sigma-1)(n+\sigma-2)\big(\tau^\sigma - \tau_0^\sigma\big)$$

where $\tau_0 := \big(\frac{(n+\sigma)(n-1)(n-2)}{n(n+\sigma-1)(n+\sigma-2)}\big)^{1/\sigma}$. The equation $q''(\tau) = 0$ has a unique solution $\tau_0$ in $(0,\infty)$ at which the function $q'(\tau)$ has the minimum value

$$q'(\tau_0) = \frac{-\sigma(n+\sigma)(n-1)}{n+\sigma-2}\left(\frac{(n+\sigma)(n-1)(n-2)}{n(n+\sigma-1)(n+\sigma-2)}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{\sigma}} - \sigma < -\sigma < 0.$$

Since $\lim_{\tau\to0^+} q'(\tau) = -\sigma$ and $q'(1) = 0$, we see that the graph of $q'(\tau)$ is convex in $(0,\infty)$. Observing that $\lim_{\tau\to0^+} q(\tau) = 2\sigma$ and $q(1) = 0$, this implies that the graph of $q(\tau)$ is starting at the point $(0,2\sigma)$ and going down to the point $(1,0)$ convexly, and going up convexly right after touching down to the point $(1,0)$. Hence we conclude that $q(\tau) \geq 0$, and so $p(\tau) \geq 0$ for any $\tau \in (0,\infty)$. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}+1$, $\varrho, \beta \in (0,\infty)$ and $\sigma \in (0,2]$. If $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is a decreasing absolutely continuous function such that*

$$-\varrho \leq \varphi'(t) \leq 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_0^\infty t^{n-1}\varphi(t)\,dt := \beta, \tag{2.3}$$

*then we have that*

$$\int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma-1}\varphi(t)\,dt \geq \frac{1}{n+\sigma}(n\beta)^{\frac{n+\sigma}{n}}\varphi(0)^{-\frac{\sigma}{n}} + \frac{\sigma}{12n(n+\sigma)\varrho^2}(n\beta)^{\frac{n+\sigma-2}{n}}\varphi(0)^{\frac{2n-\sigma+2}{n}}. \tag{2.4}$$

*Proof.* By considering the function $\varphi(0)^{-1}\varphi(\frac{\varphi(0)}{\varrho}t)$, we may assume that $\varrho = 1$ and $\varphi(0) = 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\alpha := \int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma-1}\varphi(t)\,dt < \infty$; otherwise, we have already done. Set $\phi(t) = -\varphi'(t)$ for $t \in [0,\infty)$. Then we have that $0 \leq \phi(t) \leq 1$ and $\int_0^\infty \phi(t)\,dt = \varphi(0) = 1$, and moreover Lemma 2.1 and the integration by parts leads us to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\eta^{\eta+1} t^{n+\sigma}\,dt &\leq \int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt \\ &= \lim_{t\to\infty}\big(-t^{n+\sigma}\varphi(t)\big) + (n+\sigma)\int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma-1}\varphi(t)\,dt \\ &\leq (n+\sigma)\alpha.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Applying the integration by parts again, by (2.5) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}0 \leq \lim_{t\to\infty} t^{n+\sigma}\varphi(t) &= \big[t^{n+\sigma}\varphi(t)\big]_0^\infty \\ &= (n+\sigma)\int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma-1}\varphi(t)\,dt - \int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt \\ &= (n+\alpha)\alpha - \int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma}\phi(t)\,dt < \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Then we claim that $\lim_{t\to\infty} t^{n+\sigma}\varphi(t) = 0$; indeed, if $\gamma := \lim_{t\to\infty} t^{n+\sigma}\varphi(t) > 0$, then given any $\varepsilon \in (0,\gamma)$ there is some large $T > 0$ such that $\gamma-\varepsilon < t^{n+\sigma}\varphi(t) < \gamma+\varepsilon$ for all $t > T$, and thus we get that

$$\infty = \int_T^\infty \frac{\gamma-\varepsilon}{t}\,dt \leq \int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma-1}\varphi(t)\,dt < \infty,$$

which gives a contradiction. Hence, it follows from Lemma 2.1 and the integration by parts that

$$\int_\eta^{\eta+1} t^n\,dt = \int_0^\infty t^n\phi(t)\,dt = n\int_0^\infty t^{n-1}\varphi(t)\,dt = n\beta. \tag{2.7}$$

Integrating the inequality (2.2) on $[\eta,\eta+1]$, it follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}n(n+\sigma)\alpha - n(n+\sigma)s^\sigma\beta + \sigma s^{n+\sigma} &\geq \sigma s^{n+\sigma-2}\int_\eta^{\eta+1}(t-s)^2\,dt \\ &\geq \sigma s^{n+\sigma-2}\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} t^2\,dt = \frac{\sigma}{2}\,s^{n+\sigma-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Selecting $s = (n\beta)^{1/n}$, we obtain that

$$\alpha \geq \frac{1}{n+\sigma}(n\beta)^{\frac{n+\sigma}{n}} + \frac{\sigma}{12n(n+\sigma)}(n\beta)^{\frac{n+\sigma-2}{n}}.$$

Therefore we complete the proof. □

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1 by applying lemmas obtained in the previous section.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open domain and $D^*$ be its *symmetric rearrangement* given by

$$D^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < (|D|/|B_1|)^{1/n}\}.$$

That is, $D^*$ is the open ball with the same volume as $D$ and center $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $|x|^2$ is radial and increasing, the moment of inertia of $D$ with mass center $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has the lower bound as follows;

$$[D] = \int_D |x|^2\,dx \geq \int_{D^*} |x|^2\,dx = \frac{n|D|}{n+2}\left(\frac{|D|}{|B_1|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the set of eigenfunctions $e_i$ of (1.7) corresponding to eigenvalues $\nu_k^\sigma(D)$ which is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(D)$ and an orthogonal basis of $\mathrm{X}_0$. Then we consider the Fourier transform of each eigenfunction $e_i(x)$ given by

$$\widehat{e_i}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle} e_i(x)\,dx = \langle \mathfrak{e}_\xi, e_i\rangle_{L^2(D)}$$

where $\mathfrak{e}_\xi(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle}$. By Parseval's formula and Plancherel theorem, we see that the set $\{\widehat{e_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is orthonormal in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(D)$, it follows from Bessel's inequality that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\widehat{e_i}(\xi)|^2 \leq \|\mathfrak{e}_\xi\|_{L^2(D)} = \frac{|D|}{(2\pi)^n} \tag{3.2}$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From standard analysis, we have that

$$\nabla\widehat{e_i}(\xi) = \langle ix\mathfrak{e}_\xi, e_i\rangle_{L^2(D)} := \big(\langle ix_1\mathfrak{e}_\xi, e_i\rangle_{L^2(D)}, \cdots, \langle ix_n\mathfrak{e}_\xi, e_i\rangle_{L^2(D)}\big).$$

Applying Bessel's inequality again, we obtain that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\nabla\widehat{e_i}(\xi)|^2 \leq \|ix\mathfrak{e}_\xi\|_{L^2(D)} = \frac{[D]}{(2\pi)^n} \tag{3.3}$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From (1.7) and Parseval's formula, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_i^\sigma(D) &= \|e_i\|_{\mathrm{X}_0}^2 = \langle -\mathrm{L}_K e_i, e_i\rangle_{L^2(D)} \\ &= \langle -\widehat{\mathrm{L}_K e_i}, \widehat{e_i}\rangle_{L^2(D)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} s(\xi)|\widehat{e_i}(\xi)|^2\,d\xi \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $s(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1-\cos\langle y,\xi\rangle)K(y)\,dy$. Here we note that $1-\cos\langle y,\xi\rangle \geq 0$. If we choose a matrix $M \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ such that $Me^1 = \xi/|\xi|$ where $e^1 = (1,0,\cdots,0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then by (1.1) we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} s(\xi) &\geq \lambda\, c_{n,\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1-\cos\langle|\xi|y, \xi/|\xi|\rangle}{|y|^{n+\sigma}}\,dy \\ &= \lambda\, c_{n,\sigma}|\xi|^\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1-\cos\langle\zeta, Me^1\rangle}{|\zeta|^{n+\sigma}}\,d\xi \\ &= \lambda\, c_{n,\sigma}|\xi|^\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1-\cos\langle\zeta, e^1\rangle}{|\zeta|^{n+\sigma}}\,d\xi = \lambda|\xi|^\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

If we set $G_k(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^k |\widehat{e}_i(\xi)|^2$, then by (3.2), (3.3) and Schwarz inequality, we have that $0 \le G_k(\xi) \le (2\pi)^{-n}|D|$. Also we observe that $x^{\mathfrak{a}} e_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $i = 1, \cdots, k$ and multi-index $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n$, where $x^{\mathfrak{a}} := x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$. By Riemann-Lebesgue lemma and standard analysis, we see that $\widehat{e}_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $i = 1, \cdots, k$, and $G_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus we get that

$$|\nabla G_k(\xi)| \le 2\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^k |\widehat{e}_i(\xi)|^2\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg(\sum_{i=1}^k |\nabla \widehat{e}_i(\xi)|^2\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{2\sqrt{|D|[D]}}{(2\pi)^n} \tag{3.6}$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and moreover $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_k(\xi)\, d\xi = k$ by Plancherel theorem and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \nu_i^\sigma(D) \ge \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^\sigma G_k(\xi)\, d\xi \tag{3.7}$$

by (3.4) and (3.5). Let $G_k^*(\xi) = \varphi(|\xi|)$ be the symmetric decreasing arrangement of $G_k$. Then it follows from Lemma 1.E. in [11] that $\varphi$ is absolutely continuous in $[0, \infty)$. For $\tau \ge 0$, we set $\omega(\tau) = |\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : G_k^*(\xi) > \tau\}| = |\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : G_k(\xi) > \tau\}|$.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If $\varphi$ is differentiable at $t \in (0, \infty)$, then $\omega$ is differentiable at $\varphi(t)$ and moreover $\omega'(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t) = n|B_1|t^{n-1}$.*

*Proof.* Take any $t \in (0, \infty)$ at which $\varphi$ is differentiable. Then we have two possible cases; (i) there is an open interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$ such that $t \in I$ and $\varphi' = 0$ in $I$, and (ii) there is an interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$ such that $t \in I$ and $\varphi' < 0$ in $I$.

In case of (i), it is easy to check that $\omega'(\varphi(t)) = 0$. In case of (ii), by the property of the distribution function, we see that $\omega$ is continuous at $\varphi(t)$. We note that $\omega(\varphi(t)) = |B_1|t^n$. Write $\Delta s = \varphi(t + \Delta t) - \varphi(t)$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega(\varphi(t) + \Delta s) - \omega(\varphi(t))}{\Delta s} \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta t} &= \frac{\omega(\varphi(t + \Delta t)) - \omega(\varphi(t))}{\Delta t} \\ &= |B_1| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t + \Delta t)^{n-1-i} (\Delta t)^i. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit in the above because $\varphi$ is continuous at $t$, this implies the required result. □

We continue the proof of Theorem 1.1. As in the above, there is nothing to prove it, because $\varphi' = 0$ in $I$ in case of (i). So, without loss of generality, we may assume that we are now in the case (ii). By (3.1) and (3.7), we have that

$$k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_k(\xi)\, d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_k^*(\xi)\, d\xi = n|B_1| \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} \varphi(t)\, dt \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \nu_i^\sigma(D) &\ge \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^\sigma G_k(\xi)\, d\xi \\ &\ge \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^\sigma G_k^*(\xi)\, d\xi = \lambda n|B_1| \int_0^\infty t^{n+\sigma-1} \varphi(t)\, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Since $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, (2\pi)^{-n}|D|]$ is decreasing by (3.2), it follows from the coarea formula that

$$\omega(\tau) = \int_\tau^{(2\pi)^{-n}|D|} \int_{\mathrm{S}_s} \frac{1}{|\nabla G_k(\xi)|}\, d\sigma_s(\xi)\, ds \tag{3.10}$$

where $\mathrm{S}_s = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : G_k(\xi) = s\}$ and $d\sigma_s$ is the surface measure on $\mathrm{S}_s$. Thus by (3.10) and Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} -n|B_1|t^{n-1} = -\omega'(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t) &= \left(\int_{\mathrm{S}_{\varphi(t)}} \frac{1}{|\nabla G_k(\xi)|}\, d\sigma_{\varphi(t)}(\xi)\right)\varphi'(t) \\ &\le \frac{1}{\varrho}\,\sigma_{\varphi(t)}(\mathrm{S}_{\varphi(t)})\,\varphi'(t) = \frac{1}{\varrho}\,n|B_1|t^{n-1}\,\varphi'(t) \le 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\varrho = 2(2\pi)^{-n}\sqrt{|D|[D]}$. Thus this implies that $-\varrho \le \varphi'(t) \le 0$ for any $t \ge 0$. If we set $\beta = k/(n|B_1|)$ in (3.8), then by (3.9) and Lemma 2.3 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\nu_i^{\sigma}(D) \ge{}& \frac{\lambda\, n}{n+\sigma}\left(\frac{k}{|B_1|}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{n}}\varphi(0)^{-\frac{\sigma}{n}} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda\,\sigma}{12(n+\sigma)\varrho^2}\left(\frac{k}{|B_1|}\right)^{\frac{\sigma-2}{n}}\varphi(0)^{\frac{2n-\sigma+2}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

For $t \in [0, (2\pi)^{-n}|D|]$, we set

$$h(t) = \frac{\lambda\, n}{n+\sigma}\left(\frac{k}{|B_1|}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{n}} t^{-\frac{\sigma}{n}} + \frac{\lambda\,\sigma}{12(n+\sigma)\varrho^2}\left(\frac{k}{|B_1|}\right)^{\frac{\sigma-2}{n}} t^{\frac{2n-\sigma+2}{n}}.$$

Differentiating $h(t)$ once, we get that

$$h'(t) = \frac{\lambda\,\sigma}{n+\sigma}\left(\frac{k}{|B_1|}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{n}} t^{-\frac{\sigma}{n}-1} g(t)$$

where $g(t) = -1 + \frac{2n-\sigma+2}{12n(n+\sigma)\varrho^2}\left(\frac{k}{|B_1|}\right)^{-2/n} t^{\frac{n-\sigma+2}{n}}$. Since $g$ is increasing on $[0, (2\pi)^{-n}|D|]$, we obtain that

$$g(t) \le g((2\pi)^{-n}|D|) = -1 + \frac{(n+2)(2n-\sigma+2)|B_1|^{4/n}}{192n^2\pi^2 k^{2/n}} \le -1 + \frac{20}{192} \le 0$$

from the fact that $\sigma \in (0, 2]$, $|B_1| = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{n\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$ and $\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \ge \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $h$ is decreasing on $[0, (2\pi)^{-n}|D|]$, a lower bound in (3.12) can be obtained by replacing $\varphi(0)$ by $(2\pi)^{-n}|D|$ as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\nu_i^{\sigma}(D) &\ge h\big((2\pi)^{-n}|D|\big) \\ &= \frac{\lambda\, n(2\pi)^{\sigma}}{(n+\sigma)(|B_1|\,|D|)^{\frac{\sigma}{n}}}k^{\frac{\sigma}{n}} + \frac{\lambda\,\sigma(2\pi)^{\sigma-2}}{48(n+\sigma)(|B_1|\,|D|)^{\frac{\sigma-2}{n}}}\frac{|D|}{[D]}k^{\frac{\sigma-2}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we complete the proof. □

## References


[1] F. A. Berezin, *Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators,* Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. **36**, 1972, 1134–1167.

[2] P. Kröger, *Estimates for sums of Eigenvalues of the Laplacian,* Jour. Funct. Anal. **126**, 1994, 217–227.

[3] P. Li and S. T. Yau, *On the Schrödinger equation and the eigenvalue problem,* Comm. Math. Phys. **88**, 1983, 309–318.

[4] E. Lieb, *The number of bound states of one-body Schrödinger operators and the Weyl problem,* Proc. Sym. Pure Math. **36**, 1980, 241–252.

[5] A. D. Melas, *A lower bound for sums of eigenvalues of the Laplacian,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131(2)**, 2002, 241–252.

[6] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev space,* Bull. Sci. Math. **136(5)**, 2012, 521–573.
[7] G. Pólya, *On the eigenvalues of vibrating membranes,* Proc. London Math. Soc. **(3)11**(2), 1961, 419–433.
[8] B. Simon, *Weak trace ideals and the number of bound states of Schrödinger operators,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **224**, 1976, 367–380.
[9] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Mountain pass solutions for non-local elliptic operators,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **389**, 2012, 887–898.
[10] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **33(5)**, 2013, 2105–2137.
[11] G. Talenti, *Inequalities in rearrangement invariant function spaces,* Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications **5**, 1994, 177–230.
[12] H. Weyl, *Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen,* Math. Ann. **71**(1), 1911, 441–479.
[13] S. Yildirim Yolcu and T. Yolcu, *Estimates for the sums of eigenvalues of the fractional Laplacian on a bounded domain,* Commun. Contemp. Math. **15(3)**, 2013, 1250048-1–15.

• Yong-Cheol Kim : Department of Mathematics Education, Korea University, Seoul 136-701, Korea
*E-mail address*: ychkim@korea.ac.kr